**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH**

**WEDNESDAY, THE 14TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 25TH MAGHA 1928**

**WP(C).No. 8982 of 2005(T)**
**----------**

**PETITIONER:**
**----------**

**R.PADMANABHAN, P.W.D. CONTRACTOR,**
**NATHIKADU, KOTTILA P.O., KANNUR DISTRICT.**

**BY ADV. SRI.A.K.ABDUL AZEEZ**

**RESPONDENTS:**
**----------**

1. **THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,**
   **PAZHASSARY IRRIGATION PROJECT,**
   **DIV.NO. 2.**

2. **KANNUR DISTRICT,**
   **THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,**
   **PAZHASSARY IRRIGATION PROJECT,**
   **DIV-NO.2, KANNUR DISTRICT.**

3. **THE ACCOUNTING GENERAL,**
   **AUDIT, KANNUR DISTRICT.**

**BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. K.R. DEEPA**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 14/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**WPC.NO.8982/2005 T**

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT:**

**EXT.P1: COPY OF THE LETTER FROM 1ST RESPONDENT DTD.1/11/04.**

**/TRUE COPY/**

Kss

**KURIAN JOSEPH J.**

**----------**

**W.P(C)No. 8982 of 2005**

**----------**

**Dated this the 14th day of February, 2007**

**JUDGMENT**

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by Ext.P1 communication from the first respondent. Regarding the release of security deposit it is stated that only subject to the reply from the Superintending Engineer on the audit objection further steps could be taken. In case the petitioner has still any grievance left he may approach the Superintending Engineer in which case appropriate action in accordance with law in the matter will be taken within another three months.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

(KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE)

ahg.